

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2020** 





# Immune Checkpoint inhibitors: The Oncology Nurse's Role in the Monitoring and Early Intervention of Immune-related Adverse Events

#### **FACULTY**

Arjun V. Balar, MD

Associate Professor of Medicine
Director, Genitourinary Medical Oncology Program
Laura and Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center
NYU Langone Health
New York, NY

#### **PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

This case-based live virtual activity will cover the diagnosis, treatment, and management of patients with cancer who are treated or eligible for treatment with immunotherapy.

#### **TARGET AUDIENCE**

This initiative is designed to meet the educational needs of oncology nurses involved in the management of patients with cancer who are treated or eligible for treatment with immunotherapy.

#### **LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

Upon completion of the program, attendees should be able to:

- Review the mechanism of action and clinical profiles of available and emerging immunotherapies used alone or in combination across lines of therapy in multiple tumor types
- Describe the side effects and toxicities associated with available immunotherapeutic options for the treatment of patients with various types of cancer and strategies to manage them in clinical practice
- Summarize best practices for the use of biomarker testing in clinical practice to guide treatment making decisions regarding cancer immunotherapies including the potential for response to therapy and the occurrence of irAEs
- Discuss current recommendations and emerging evidence regarding the use of immunotherapies for patients with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic including the management of irAEs and the utility of telemedicine
- Describe the fundamentals of patient-centered SDM approaches and their utility in optimizing patient care in clinical practice

#### ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Med Learning Group is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians. This CME activity was planned and produced in accordance with the ACCME Essentials.

#### **CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT**

Med Learning Group designates this live virtual activity for a maximum of 1.0 AMA Category 1 Credit<sup>TM</sup>. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the live virtual activity.

#### **NURSING CREDIT INFORMATION**

Purpose: This program would be beneficial for nurses involved in the care of patients with cancer who are treated or eligible for treatment with immunotherapy. **CNE Credits:** 1 ANCC Contact Hour.

#### **CNE ACCREDITATION STATEMENT**

Ultimate Medical Academy/CCM is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation. Awarded 1.0 contact hour of continuing nursing education for RNs and APNs.

#### **ONCC STATEMENT**

The program content has been reviewed by the Oncology Nursing Certification Corporation (ONCC) and is acceptable for recertification points.

# **DISCLOSURE POLICY STATEMENT**

In accordance with the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) Standards for Commercial Support, educational programs sponsored by Med Learning Group must demonstrate balance, independence, objectivity, and scientific rigor. All faculty, authors, editors, staff, and planning committee members participating in a MLG-sponsored activity are required to disclose any relevant financial interest or other relationship with the manufacturers of any commercial products and/or providers of commercial services that are discussed in an educational activity.

#### **DISCLOSURE OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

**Arjun V. Balar, MD,** has received consultant fees from Merck, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Seattle Genetics; and received fees for non-CME/CE services received directly from a commercial interest or its agent from Merck, Genentech, and AstraZeneca.

#### **CME Content Review**

The content of this activity was independently peer-reviewed.

The reviewer of this activity has nothing to disclose.

#### **CNE Content Review**

The content of this activity was peer-reviewed by a nurse reviewer.

The reviewer of this activity has nothing to disclose.

The staff, planners and managers reported the following financial relationships or relationships to products or devices they or their spouse/life partner have with commercial interests related to the content of this CME/CE activity:

Matthew Frese, General Manager of Med Learning Group has nothing to disclose.

Christina Gallo, SVP, Educational Development of Med Learning Group has nothing to disclose.

Ana Maria Albino, Senior Program Manager of Med Learning Group has nothing to disclose.

Nicole Longo, DO, FACOI, Director of Medical and Scientific Services of Med Learning Group has nothing to disclose. Lauren Welch, MA, VP of Accreditation and Outcomes of Med Learning Group has nothing to disclose.

Brianna Hanson, Accreditation and Outcomes Coordinator for Med Learning Group, has nothing to disclose.

#### **DISCLOSURE OF UNLABELED USE**

Med Learning Group requires that faculty participating in any CME activity disclose to the audience when discussing any unlabeled or investigational use of any commercial product or device not yet approved for use in the United States.

During this lecture, faculty may mention the use of medications for both FDA-approved and non-approved indications.

#### METHOD OF PARTICIPATION

There are no fees for participating and receiving CME/CNE credit for this live virtual activity. To receive CME/CNE credit participants must:

- 1. Read the CME/CNE information and faculty disclosures
- 2. Participate in the live virtual activity
- 3. Complete the posttest and online evaluation form

You will receive your certificate as a downloadable file.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Med Learning Group makes every effort to develop CME activities that are science-based. This activity is designed for educational purposes. Participants have a responsibility to use this information to enhance their professional development in an effort to improve patient outcomes. Conclusions drawn by the participants should be derived from careful consideration of all available scientific information. The participant should use his/her clinical judgment, knowledge, experience, and diagnostic decision-making before applying any information, whether provided here or by others, for any professional use.

For CME questions, please contact: Med Learning Group at info@medlearninggroup.com Contact this CME provider at Med Learning Group for privacy and confidentiality policy statement information at: www.medlearninggroup.com/privacy-policy/

#### AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

Staff will be glad to assist you with any special needs. Please contact Med Learning Group prior to participating <a href="mailto:info@medlearninggroup.com">info@medlearninggroup.com</a>



This activity is provided by Med Learning Group.



This activity is co-provided by Ultimate Medical Academy/Complete Conference Management (CCM).

This activity is implemented in partnership with the Boston Chapter.

Supported by an educational grant from Merck & Co., Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Med Learning Group. All rights reserved. These materials may be used for personal use only. Any rebroadcast, distribution, or reuse of this presentation or any part of it in any form for other than personal use without the express written permission of Med Learning Group is prohibited.

# Immune Checkpoint inhibitors: The Oncology Nurse's Role in the Monitoring and Early Intervention of Immune-related Adverse Events

### I. Overview of Immuno-oncology

- a. Immunosurveillance mechanisms by the innate and adaptive immune systems
- b. Physiologic function of CTLA-4 and PD-1 as immune checkpoints

### II. Available and Emerging Immuno-oncology Therapeutic Options for Advanced Malignancies

- a. Mechanisms of action and clinical profiles of available immunotherapies used as monotherapies across lines of treatment in various tumor types
- b. Mechanisms of action and clinical profiles of available immunotherapies used as combination therapies across lines of treatment in various tumor types
- c. Mechanisms of action and clinical profiles of emerging immunotherapies alone and in combination

### III. Immune- and Non-immune-related Biomarkers and Testing Methodologies

- a. Prognostic biomarkers across various tumor types
- b. Biomarkers predictive of response to treatment across various tumor types
- c. Biomarkers predictive of the occurrence of irAEs across various tumor types
- d. FDA-approved companion biomarker-based diagnostic tests

## IV. Immune-Related Adverse Events Secondary to ICI Therapy

- Pathophysiologic basis for irAEs across tumor types
- b. Rare but serious irAEs: early diagnosis and intervention
- c. Surveillance and management of most common irAEs (case-based)
- d. Clinical Practice Guidelines (ASCO/NCCN) how to monitor, classify, and manage irAEs

# V. Multidisciplinary Oncology Team – Optimizing Patient Care and Survivorship Through Shared Decision Making

- a. Educational strategies for the oncology patient
- b. Shared decision making in the care process use of decision aids
- c. Ongoing, routine communication between members of the multidisciplinary health care team throughout treatment
- d. Team members and their respective roles/Oncology nurses as integral members of the cancer care team

#### VI. COVID-19 and Cancer

- a. Malignancy as a risk factor for infection
- b. Relationship between active or past cancer treatment and infection on outcomes
- Effect of infection-risk on immunotherapy selection/initiation/continuation
- d. Telemedicine as part of routine oncology practice during pandemic

#### VII. Conclusions

### **VIII. Questions & Answers**

# Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: The Oncology Nurse's Role in the Monitoring and Early Intervention of Immune-Related Adverse Events

#### Arjun V. Balar, MD

Associate Professor of Medicine
Director, Genitourinary Medical Oncology Program
Laura and Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center
NYU Langone Health
New York, New York

1

# **Disclosures**

- **Dr. Arjun Balar** discloses that he is a consultant with AstraZeneca/ Medimmune; Genentech, Inc./F. Hoffmann La Roche Ltd.; Merck & Co., Inc., Incyte Inc, Pfizer, and Seattle Genetics.
- During this lecture, faculty may mention the use of medications for both FDA-approved and nonapproved indications.

This activity is supported by an education grant from Merck & Co, Inc.

# **Learning Objectives**


- Review the mechanism of action and clinical profiles of available and emerging immunotherapies used alone or in combination across lines of therapy in multiple tumor types
- Describe the side effects and toxicities associated with available immunotherapeutic options for treating patients with various types of cancer and strategies to manage them in clinical practice
- Summarize best practices for the use of biomarker testing in clinical practice to guide treatment-making decisions regarding cancer immunotherapies, including the potential for response to therapy and the occurrence of irAEs


3


# Learning Objectives (continued)


- Discuss current recommendations and emerging evidence regarding using immunotherapies in patients with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic, including managing irAEs and the utility of telemedicine
- Describe the fundamentals of patient-centered SDM approaches and their utility in optimizing patient care in clinical practice
- Explain the various roles for oncology nurses in managing patients who are treated or eligible for treatment with immunotherapy











Cancer Therapy Through the Ages
Targeted Therapy


Signaling pathways and inhibitors of EGFR

Signaling pathways and inhibitors of EGFR

Targets the tumor

Cytoplasm

Indicate the state of the state o



# **Unmet Need for Immunotherapy Biomarkers: Background**

- Clinical successes in cancer immunotherapy and across multiple tumor types highlight critical need for biomarkers1
- Predictive—who is most likely to benefit from the therapies?
- Prognostic—factors that predict outcomes irrespective of treatment
- Mechanism of action of biomarkers how therapy functions in order to inform decision making

# Evaluating the performance of a predictive biomarker<sup>1,2</sup>


A trial designed to assess the clinical validity of a predictive biomarker must predefine its clinically meaningful performance metrics.

Guidelines for informative reporting of studies on prognostic as well as diagnostic markers exist; apply them to cancer immunotherapy.

Choice of specific performance metric and benchmark performance level that must be attained is dependent on intended clinical use (ie, determine predictive vs prognostic value of a biomarker).

Clinical utility vs clinical validity: there must be evidence suggesting that the use of the test is likely to lead to clinically meaningful benefit to the patient beyond current standards of care.

1. Butterfield LH. Semin Cancer Biol. 2018;52:12-15. 2. Dobbin KK, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2016;4:77.



Agent	Target	Approved Indications		
Cemiplimab <sup>1</sup>	PD-1	Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (2nd line)		
Nivolumab <sup>2</sup>	PD-1	Bladder cancer (advanced/metastatic, 2nd line)     Head and neck (recurrent/metastatic, 2nd line)     Hepatocellular carcinoma (2nd line)     Hodgkin lymphoma (relapsed/progressed after SCT or 4th line)	Melanoma (metastatic and adjuvant) MSI-H/dMMR CRC (2nd line) NSCLC (metastatic, 2nd line) RCC (advanced, 1st and 2nd line) SCLC (metastatic, 2nd line)	
Pembrolizumab <sup>3</sup>	PD-1	Bladder cancer (1st and 2nd line metastatic, and HR BCG unresponsive CIS) Cervical cancer (2nd line) Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (recurrent or metastatic, not curable by surgery or radiation) Endometrial carcinoma (advanced, not MSI-H or dMMR, 2nd line) Esophageal cancer (recurrent locally advanced or metastatic, 2nd line) Gastric cancer (3rd line) Head and neck (1st and 2nd line) Hepatocellular carcinoma (2nd line)	Hodgkin lymphoma (4th line) Melanoma (all metastatic and adjuvant) Merkel cell carcinoma (recurrent locally advanced or metastatic) MSI-H or dMMR tumors (1st and 2nd line) NSCLC (1st and 2nd line) Primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma (3rd line) RCC (advanced,1st line) SCLC (metastatic, 3rd line) TMB-H tumors (2nd line)	

# **Current FDA-Approved PD-L1 and CTLA-4 Inhibitors\***

Agent	Target	Approved Indications	
Atezolizumab <sup>1</sup>	PD-L1	<ul> <li>Bladder cancer (1st and 2nd line)</li> <li>ES-SCLC (1st line)</li> <li>NSCLC (1st and 2nd line)</li> <li>TNBC (PD-L1+ unresectable, locally advanced [LA] or metastatic)</li> </ul>	
Avelumab <sup>2</sup>	PD-L1	<ul> <li>Bladder cancer (LA/metastatic, 2nd line)</li> <li>Merkel cell carcinoma (metastatic)</li> </ul>	
Durvalumab <sup>3</sup>	PD-L1	<ul> <li>Bladder cancer (LA/metastatic, 2nd line)</li> <li>ES-SCLC (1st line)</li> <li>NSCLC (unresectable, stage III, without disease progression following platinum-based chemo-XRT)</li> </ul>	

Agent	Target	Approved Indications	
Ipilimumab <sup>4</sup>	CTLA-4	Melanoma (unresectable or metastatic, adjuvant resected)     HCC (2nd line)	<ul> <li>RCC (untreated advanced, 1st line)</li> <li>MSI-H or dMMR CRC (2nd line)</li> <li>NSCLC (metastatic, 1st line)</li> </ul>

It is very important to become familiar with these agents since the number and breadth of cancer indications are rapidly changing


\*See prescribing information for complete detailing of approved indications


ES-SCLC = extensive-stage small cell lung cancer; TNBC = triple negative breast cancer; XRT = radiation therapy; HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma.


1. Atezolizumab (Tecentriq®) PI, 2019 (www.gene.com/download/pdf/tecentriq\_prescribing.pdf). 2. Avelumab (Bevencio®) PI, 2019 (www.emdserono.com/content/dam/web/corporate/non-images/country-specifics/us/pi/bavencio-pi.pdf). 3. Durvalumab (Imfinzi®) PI, 2020 (www.azpicentral.com/imfinzi/imfinzi.pdf). 4. Ipilimumab (Yervoy®) PI, 2020 (http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi\_yervoy.pdf).

15


# Immune-Related Adverse Events (irAEs)








# The Truth About irAEs What is the mechanism? When do they occur? Who can develop irAEs? Do they correlate with efficacy? Restarting immune checkpoint blockade when irAEs resolve; balancing risks and benefits





# **Severity of irAEs**

- Severity (G1–4) determines management.<sup>1,2</sup>
  - Grades for the most part follow the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), version 5.0<sup>3</sup>
- Recommendations on management are based on consensus opinion<sup>1,2,4</sup>
- Patients with grade 3 and 4 irAEs can present in ED<sup>1</sup>
- Deaths from irAEs are very rare, but deaths due to myocarditis, pneumonitis, colitis, and neurologic events have been documented<sup>2,4</sup>

G = grade; ED = emergency department.

1. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. 2. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95. 3. NCI: CTCAE 5.0 (https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic\_applications/docs/CTCAE\_v5\_Quick\_Reference\_8.5x11.pdf). Accessed 10/2018. 4. Postow MA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:158-168.

23

# **General Approach to Immune-Mediated Symptoms**

irAE is always included in differential and is often diagnosed by exclusion

Rule out other etiologies (eg, infection, other drugs, neoplasm, metabolic causes)

Can affect any organ system

Early recognition, evaluation, and treatment are critical for patient safety

Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768.

# irAEs—Grading and Management Principles

Severity— CTCAE grade	Ambulatory vs inpatient care	Corticosteroids	Other immunosuppressive drugs	Immunotherapy
1 Mild	Ambulatory	Not recommended	Not recommended	Continue with close monitoring (exception neurologic/some hematologic toxicities)
2 Moderate	Ambulatory	Topical steroids <i>or</i> Systemic steroids oral (low-dose) 0.5–1 mg/kg/day	Not recommended	Suspend temporarily* until symptoms and/or lab values revert to grade 1 levels or lower
3 Severe	Hospitalization	Systemic steroids (high-dose) Oral or intravenous (IV) 1–2 mg/kg/day x 3 days, then reduce to 1 mg/kg/day; long taper (≥1 month)	To be considered for unresolved symptoms after 3–5 days of steroids Organ specialist referral advised	Suspend and discuss resumption based on risk/benefit ratio with patient
4 Very severe	Hospitalization; consider intensive care unit (ICU)	Systemic steroids (high dose)  IV methylprednisolone 1–2 mg/kg/day x 3 days, then reduce to 1 mg/kg/day; long taper (≥1 month)	To be considered for unresolved symptoms after 3–5 days of steroids Organ specialist referral advised	Discontinue permanently
5 Death				

Some dysimmune toxicities may follow a specific management; this must be discussed with the organ specialist. \*In the case of skin or endocrine disorders, immunotherapy can be maintained.

Champiat S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:559-574. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768.

25


# **Organ Distribution of Immune-Related Toxicities**

- Activating the immune system can kill cancer cells but may also cause inflammation
  against normal cells. This can be seen in any organ and can occur weeks to months (or
  longer) after treatment
- Combination therapy (usually blockade of CTLA-4 and PD-1) has greater rates of significant toxicity than single-agent immune-checkpoint therapy


Most common	Less common
<ul> <li>Skin</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Blood or bone marrow (hemolytic anemia/ thrombocytopenia)</li> <li>Cardiovascular (myocarditis, vasculitis)</li> <li>Joints or muscles</li> <li>Neurological (neuropathy, myelitis, myasthenia)</li> <li>Ocular (blepharitis, conjunctivitis, iritis, scleritis, uveitis)</li> <li>Pancreatic (pancreatitis, diabetes)</li> <li>Renal (nephritis)</li> </ul>

Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Postow MA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:158-168





Pneumonitis			
Presentation <sup>1</sup>	Differential diagnosis	Diagnosis considerations	
<ul> <li>Progressive cough</li> <li>Dyspnea on exertion</li> <li>Pleuritic chest pain</li> <li>Fever (uncommon)</li> <li>Clinical presentation and imaging findings can be subtle</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pneumonia¹</li> <li>Changes associated with lung-cancer burden¹</li> <li>Chest X-ray: good for initial work up, can miss subtle findings</li> <li>Computed tomography (CT) of chest should be considered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occurs in ~1 in 20 patients treated with ICI monotherapy (&lt;5%) and is somewhat higher with combination therapy<sup>1,2</sup></li> <li>Less common with CTLA-4 antibodies<sup>1–3</sup> <ul> <li>PD-1 inhibitors &gt; PD-L1 inhibitors (in theory)<sup>4</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>High index of suspicion in patients without obvious signs of other infection</li> </ul>	
	Failure to make the diagnosis and start corticosteroids can result in disease progression	Potentially fatal—early diagnosis and intervention are critical <sup>1,2</sup>	



	Differential diagnosis	Diagnosis considerations
<ul> <li>Diarrhea<sup>1,2</sup></li> <li>Colitis<sup>1,2</sup> (abdominal pain, fever, rectal bleeding, peritoneal signs)</li> <li>Onset occurs after an average of 3 infusions<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Most commonly seen with<sup>3-6</sup>:         <ul> <li>Ipilimumab (30–40%)</li> <li>Combination therapy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Less common with<sup>3,6</sup>:         <ul> <li>PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors</li> <li>Anti-PD-1 monotherapy (≤19%)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clostridium difficile colitis<sup>6,7</sup></li> <li>Other forms of viral and bacterial gastroenteritis<sup>7</sup></li> <li>Ischemic colitis<sup>7</sup></li> <li>There is significant similarity between colitis as an immunotherapy-associated irAE and inflammatory bowel disease (eg, clinical presentations, radiologic findings)<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alternative etiologies (eg, infection, effects of medications) should be ruled out<sup>3</sup></li> <li>&lt;1% with fatal bowel perforation<sup>4</sup> <ul> <li>In large ipilimumab study, 31% of patients reported GI disorders (eg, diarrhea and colitis)<sup>8</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>Immune-related colitis is potentially fatal—early diagnosis and intervention are critical<sup>3,8</sup></li> </ul>

# **GI Toxicity: Management Principles**

#### **General Points**

- Management of diarrhea/colitis
  - Important to consider bioavailability of oral corticosteroids in patients with moderate to severe symptoms
  - Very reasonable to initiate IV steroids and transition to oral on symptom improvement; should occur within 48 hours

#### **Management**

- Hospitalization, possible ICU monitoring
- Low threshold for starting steroids (initiated in parallel to diagnostic testing)
- Consult gastroenterology for ≥ grade 2
- Consider treatment with antitumor necrosis factor (TNF) agent (infliximab\*) for moderate, severe, or refractory colitis
- Beware of rebound diarrhea
- Long taper (≥1month) after improvement of symptoms to grade 0 or 1

\*Not currently FDA approved for irAEs


Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Haanen JBAG, et al. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(suppl 4):iv119-iv142. Hryniewicki AT, et al. J Emerg Med. 2018;55:489-502. Roberts K, et al. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2017;13:277-288. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95. Weber JS, et al. Oncologist. 2016;21:1230-1240. Linardou H, Gogas H. Ann Transl Med. 2016;4:272. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) practice guidelines. Management of immunotherapy-related toxicities. V1.2020 (www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/immunotherapy.pdf).

# Infliximab\*

- Anti-TNF $\alpha$  chimeric mAb  $\rightarrow$  binds circulating TNF $\alpha^1$
- Indications include ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn's disease, psoriasis/psoriatic arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and ulcerative colitis<sup>1</sup>
- Highly effective for irAEs not responsive to corticosteroids within 48–72 hours<sup>2</sup>
- Dosing
  - Standard (rheumatologic conditions): 5 mg/kg at 0, 2, and 6 weeks; every 8 weeks for maintenance<sup>1</sup>
  - For irAEs: 5 mg/kg IV x 1 or 2 doses often sufficient to control symptoms<sup>2</sup>
- Trials and guidelines addressing use of infliximab and other biologics to reduce or obviate need for chronic or high-dose steroids<sup>3,4</sup>
  - NCT02763761: phase 2 study of infliximab and low-dose prednisone (withdrawn due to lack of accrual)

\*Not currently FDA-approved for irAEs

1. Infliximab (Remicade\*) PI, 2018 (www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescribing-information/REMICADE-pi.pdf). 2. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. 3. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95. 4. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT02763761 (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02763761). Accessed 4/29/2020.



# Other Biologics\*

- Anecdotal or case reports of use
- Adalimumab<sup>1</sup>
  - Anti-TNFα recombinant monoclonal antibody
- Etanercept<sup>2</sup>
  - Recombinant TNF receptor linked to IgG1 Fc portion
- Tocilizumab<sup>3,4</sup>
  - Anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody

\*Not currently FDA-approved for irAEs

IgG = immunoglobulin G; Fc = fragment crystallizable (region).

1. Adalimumab (Humira®) PI, 2020 (www.rxabbvie.com/pdf/humira.pdf). 2. Etanercept (Enbrel®) PI, 2020 (www.pi.amgen.com/~/media/amgen/repositorysites/pi-amgen-com/enbrel/enbrel\_pi.pdf). 3. Tocilizumab (Actemra®) PI, 2019 (www.gene.com/download/pdf/actemra\_prescribing.pdf). 4. Stroud CR, et al. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2019;25:551-557.

35

# **Hepatic Toxicity**

Presentation	Differential diagnosis	Diagnosis considerations <sup>4,5</sup>
Ranges from asymptomatic increased liver function (ALT, AST, bilirubin) → fulminant hepatitis <sup>1,2</sup> Median time to onset is highly variable: 4 to 25 weeks <sup>3</sup>	Alternative etiologies should be ruled out <sup>1,2</sup> :  • Viral  • Other medications  • Malignancy	<ul> <li>10% with anti-CTLA-4 mAb</li> <li>&lt;5% with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 mAb</li> <li>Grade 3+ events: 1–2%</li> <li>Increased toxicity with combinations (eg, vemurafenib)</li> </ul>

1. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. 2. Haanen JBAG, et al. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(suppl 4):iv119-iv142. 3. Zhang X, et al. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2016;10:3153-3161. 4. Naidoo J, et al. Ann Oncol. 2015;26:2375-2391. 5. Vemurafenib (Zelboraf\*) PI, 2017 (www.gene.com/download/pdf/zelboraf\_prescribing.pdf).

# **Hepatic Toxicity: Management Principles**

#### **General Points**

- For severe cases, use high-dose IV steroids; permanently discontinue drug
- For patients with persistently elevated LFTs or who are refractory to steroids, consider:
  - Hepatobiliary consult
  - Mycophenolate\* (500–1000 mg BID)
- · Minimize alcohol intake
- No infliximab (FDA warnings and precautions)

# Management

- Grade 2: hold drug and initiate systemic corticosteroids (1 mg/kg prednisone or equivalent)
  - AST or ALT >3 x and ≤5.0 x ULN ± total bilirubin ≤3.0 x ULN
  - Monitor 1–2 times weekly until resolution to <grade 2 (or baseline)
  - For patients continuing to trend up, start steroids at 0.5 mg/kg prednisone
- Grade ≥3
  - AST or ALT >5.0 x ULN ± total bilirubin >3.0 x ULN
  - Discontinue therapy (for most patients)
  - As above
  - Start steroids at 1–2 mg/kg prednisone

\*Not currently FDA-approved for irAEs

LFT = liver-function test; BID = twice daily; ULN = upper limit of normal.

Suzman DL, et al. Liver Int. 2018;38:976-987. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Haanen JBAG, et al. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(suppl 4):iv119-iv142. Zhang X, et al. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2016;10:3153-3161. Naidoo J, et al. Ann Oncol. 2015;26:2375-2391. Infliximab (Remicade®) Pl, 2018 (www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescribing-information/REMICADE-pl.pdf).

37


# **Endocrinopathies**

Thyroid: Hyper → hypothyroidism Adrenal: Adrenal insufficiency


Pituitary: Hypophysitis → hypopituitarism Pancreas: Type 1 diabetes mellitus


- Generally of G1–2; rarely high-grade (hypothyroidism, hypophysitis)
- Management
  - Toxicity is generally irreversible; therefore, management is hormone replacement
    - Symptomatic hyperthyroidism: methimazole
  - Adrenal insufficiency: start methylprednisolone (or equivalent) immediately; substitute with hydrocortisone once prednisone reaches 10 mg/day
  - Grades 3-4: hold anti-PD-1 treatment and add glucocorticoid ± hormone replacement; continue anti-PD-1 therapy if improvement to grade 2 or lower
  - Diabetes: Hold therapy and refer to endocrinology
    - May rechallenge once blood glucose is controlled
    - · Corticosteroids not known to be effective in autoimmune diabetes

Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Haanen JBAG, et al. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(suppl 4):iv119-iv142. Chang J, et al. BMJ Case Rep. 2019;12:e228135. Roberts K, et al. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2017;13:277-288. Godwin JL et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:40.




Presentation <sup>1</sup>	Asymptomatic Management <sup>1</sup>	Symptomatic Management
Often presents with:  Vague abdominal pain  and/or:  Extreme fatigue  Nausea  Vomiting	(le, mildly impaired fasting glucose, elevated amylase and lipase only)  Monitor symptoms closely; can continue therapy	<ul> <li>Pancreatitis</li> <li>Hold therapy and start steroids (0.5–1 mg/kg)</li> <li>Treat based on symptoms; labs are not reliable</li> <li>May rechallenge when <grade 2<sup="">2,3</grade></li> <li>Steroid therapy may not prevent short- and long-term adverse outcomes or improve overall survival<sup>4</sup></li> <li>General</li> <li>Taper steroids based on symptoms, not labs<sup>2,3</sup></li> <li>Hospitalization in severe cases, with higher dose steroids (1–2 mg/kg)<sup>6</sup></li> </ul>







# **Primary Adrenal Insufficiency/Adrenal Crisis**

- Severe symptoms, unable to perform activities of daily life (ADLs)
- Medically significant or lifethreatening consequences
- Volume depletion, electrolyte abnormalities, and low or undetectable morning cortisol and high ACTH







 Patients experiencing symptomatic improvement to grades 0–1 and are stable on hormonal replacement therapy can be rechallenged with immunotherapy

Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Pallin DJ, et al. Acad Emerg Med. 2018;25:819-827. Bornstein SR, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101:364-389. O'Kane GM, et al. Oncologist. 2017;22:70-80.

43

# **Neuromuscular Disorders**

- Several cases of Guillain-Barre-type syndrome and myasthenia gravis have been reported<sup>1,2</sup>
- Severe motor/sensory neuropathy<sup>1,2</sup>
- Overall incidence = 2-4%; G3/G4 = <1%<sup>3,4</sup>
- Consult with neurology (MRI of spine, lumbar puncture, serum antiganglioside antibody tests)<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Spain L, et al. Ann Oncol. 2017;28:377-385. 2. Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. 3. Dalakas MC. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. 2018;11:1756286418799864. 4. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancel 2017;5:95.

# **Uveitis/Iritis**

- Mild
  - "Dry eyes"
  - Manage symptomatically
  - Can continue therapy
- Moderate-symptomatic (ie, pain, visual changes)
  - Hold therapy
  - Refer to ophthalmology
  - Topical steroids
  - Can rechallenge with improvement in symptoms
- Severe
  - As above
  - May need systemic steroids in addition if topical not working in 2–3 days
  - Discontinue therapy
  - Ophthalmologic consultation advised, either in ED or following day

Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Pallin DJ, et al. Acad Emerg Med. 2018;25:819-827. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95.

General Points	Rheumatologic Conditions	Considerations
<ul> <li>Poorly recognized from RCTs</li> <li>Lack of standardized reporting (arthralgia, arthritis, joint pain, joint effusion-aggregate &gt;20%)</li> <li>CTCAE grading possibly underestimates severity (significant disability or impaired self-care ADLs to reach Grade 3)</li> <li>No mention for monitoring or management in labels or patient information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inflammatory arthritis         <ul> <li>~5% in retrospective cohort of anti-PD-1-treated patients</li> </ul> </li> <li>Sicca syndrome</li> <li>Polymyalgia rheumatica/giant cell arteritis</li> <li>Myositis (dermatomyositis, polymyositis)</li> <li>Single-organ vasculitis</li> <li>Psoriasis</li> <li>Scleroderma, others</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Arthralgia is frequently induced by PD-1 antibodies.</li> <li>Mainly affects large joints</li> <li>Mild symptoms may be manageable with NSAIDS ± low-dose steroids</li> <li>Consult rheumatology</li> </ul>

Presentation	Differential diagnosis	Diagnosis considerations
Possible signs and symptoms:  Chest pain  Arrhythmias  Complete heart block  Palpitations  Peripheral edema  Progressive or acute dyspnea  Pleural effusions  Fatigue  Myocarditis occurs early, with median time of 1–2 months; most cases occur within 3 months of starting ICI therapy	Alternative etiologies should be ruled out:  • Viral  • Other medications  • Pneumonitis  • Ischemia	<ul> <li>Pharmacovigilance studies report rates of:         <ul> <li>0.27% with combination therapy (anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA4)</li> <li>0.06% in anti-PD-1 monotherapy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Can occur after single dose</li> <li>Check troponin, CK, BNP, if any concern</li> <li>Consult cardiology</li> <li>Obtain ECG, ECHO; may consider cardiac MRI</li> </ul>

# **Cardiac Toxicity: Management Principles**

#### **General Points**

- Hospitalization is required for close monitoring (telemetry/cardiac critical care). Cardiology consult +/— cardiac catheterization indicated, depending on risk factors
- High risk for development of conduction abnormalities, including complete heart block

# Management

- Any Grade: permanently discontinue drug and initiate systemic corticosteroids
- Treatment recommendations are based on anecdotal evidence and life-threatening nature of cardiovascular events
  - Hold therapy
  - Initiate high-dose steroids
  - If no improvement, can consider initiation of mycophenolate, infliximab, or antithymocyte globulin\*

\*Not currently FDA-approved for irAEs

Brahmer JR, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1714-1768. Ganatra S, Neilan TG. Oncologist. 2018;23:879-886. Puzanov I, et al. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5:95.



**Multidisciplinary Approach to irAEs Dermatology** Neurology Cardiology Endocrinology Gastroenterology **Patient** Pulmonology Rheumatology Oncology and Emergency oncology nurses Medicine Focus on safety • Diagnose by exclusion of other causes • Consult with other specialties • Ask the patient and relatives about cancer history and use of immunotherapies • Recognize rare, life-threatening cases and act promptly

# **Emergency Care Considerations**

## **Challenges and Preconceptions**

- Toxicities from ICIs can *mimic* other diseases
- AEs can emerge months after treatment and may continue to evolve after presentation
- AEs can involve a single organ system or affect multiple systems simultaneously
- Cancer/chemotherapy can lead to the assumption of immunosuppression, whereas with ICIs, the immune system is hyperactive
- Differential may be unclear if steroids were already initiated

# **Approaches and Interventions**

- Modify history-taking to:
  - Include inquiries regarding ICIs within past 1 year
  - Ask patients and/or caregivers about ICI status
  - Ask for a "wallet card" that details any ICI therapy
  - Increase awareness that ICI history can be relevant with vague symptoms or specific conditions
- Standardize nursing assessment flow charts to include irAE assessment
- Communicate with oncology
- Increase team awareness
  - Higher-grade toxicity usually requires more urgent intervention


Pallin DJ, et al. Acad Emerg Med. 2018;25:819-827. Daniels GA, et al. Emerg Med J. 2019;36:369-377.

51

# **Navigating Immunotherapy During COVID-19**

- irAEs and symptoms related to COVID-19 can be similar, which can present challenges to assessment and care during cancer treatment
- Potential mitigation strategies:
  - Streamline COVID-19 testing for shorter turn-around time and should be performed immediately before treatment and considered periodically during treatment.
  - Minimizing highly myelosuppressive agents
  - Expanding use of personal protective equipment (PPE)
  - Using oral therapy options when appropriate (can eliminate need for in-person treatment visits)
  - Splitting of health care teams to encourage geographic separation and minimize risk for cross contamination
  - Telemedicine and working with local oncology teams to minimize medical travel and points of contact with health care system

Alhalabi O, Subbiah V. Trends Cancer. 2020;6:533-535.




# **Shared Decision-Making (SDM)**

- Provides a patient-centered approach to decision-making when multiple options may be medically reasonable (including no intervention)
- Uses decision aids (DAs) that present organized, evidence-based, and unbiased information to assist in communicating with each patient
- Engages the patient's values, goals, concerns, expertise (of living with the condition), and preferences (including treatment burdens)
- Involves "choice-awareness," which enhances execution of the SDM process
- Benefits include enhanced patient satisfaction, heightened patient therapeutic adherence, and enriched provider/patient relationships

SHARE workshop tool 1 (www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/professionals/education/curriculum-tools/shareddecisionmaking/tools/tool-1/share-tool1.pdf). Kunneman M, et al. Mayo Clin Proc Innov Qual Outcomes. 2018;2:60-68.





# **Strategies for Effective Communication**

#### **Evidence-Based Recommendations on Handling Information**

- Ask patients what types of information and level of detail they wish to have
- Offer information about quality-of-life issues as well as anticancer therapy
- Use the number of patient concerns as a marker for distress and poor adjustment
- Recognize that patient misunderstandings about clinical trials are common.
- In transitions to hospice care, avoid using phrases such as "there is nothing more that can be done"

### **Evidence-Based Recommendations on Dealing With Patient Emotions**

- Do not assume that patients will request help for emotional issues
- Consider the patient-physician encounter as providing both cognitive data about patient understanding and emotional data about patient feelings
- Explicitly solicit emotional data from patients about their mood in order to detect distress

Back A. Oncology (Williston Park). 2006;20:67-74.

57

# Case Study 1

- A 68-year-old man with metastatic urothelial bladder cancer is initially treated with 6 cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy, achieving a partial response. After 6 months, his cancer progresses and he is treated with an anti PD-1 antibody. After 3 cycles of treatment, he achieves a response and continues treatment until 9 months later, when he develops disease progression and subsequently discontinues immunotherapy. He has tolerated treatment well, developing only mild rash, successfully treated with topical corticosteroids.
- Although he has discontinued immunotherapy, which continued assessment approach is most appropriate for this patient?
- a) Monitoring for irAEs should continue for 30 days following treatment discontinuation
- b) Monitoring for irAEs should continue for up to 4-5 months following treatment discontinuation
- c) Monitoring for irAEs should continue for up to a year after treatment discontinuation
- d) Monitoring for irAEs after treatment discontinuation is not necessary

# Case Study 2

A 72 year old woman with stage 3 non-small cell lung cancer initially is treated with definitive chemoradiation. Approximately 18 months later she develops metastases to the adrenal gland and liver and is receiving platinum-based chemotherapy in combination with an anti-PD-1 antibody. After 3 cycles of treatment, the patient presents with worsening shortness of breath on exertion and a dry, nonproductive cough. She denies any fevers or chills or recent sick contacts, and her influenza vaccination is up to date. At rest, she is breathing comfortably and is fully conversant.

What is the most appropriate next step in management?

- a) Hold chemoimmunotherapy treatment and emergently initiate corticosteroids for immunerelated pneumonitis
- b) Hold chemoimmunotherapy treatment, obtain a CT Chest and consider additional workup for immune-related pneumonitis
- c) Continue chemoimmunotherapy treatment and refer the patient to a pulmonary specialist for further workup and management
- d) Hold chemoimmunotherapy and begin oral antibiotics for bacterial pneumonia

59

# Conclusions—irAEs

- Immune checkpoints inhibitors are firmly established as treatment standards in a range of cancers; irAEs are increasingly important
- High index of clinical suspicion and differential diagnosis is fundamental
- Rates of irAEs are highest with combination therapy
  - Anti-CTLA-4 drives a significant portion of autoimmunity
- No reliable means to predict who will develop irAEs and when
  - Can occur up to 4-5 months after treatment discontinuation
- Early diagnosis and aggressive systemic corticosteroids are key to preventing lifethreatening consequences, as well as affording opportunities for retreatment due to diagnosis and intervention at lower irAE grades
- New ASCO/NCCN guidelines have been released on management of irAEs

ASCO = American Society of Clinical Oncology.

# Conclusions—irAEs (continued)

- Endocrinopathies are generally irreversible and are managed with hormone replacement
- Immunotherapy rechallenge is reasonable; HCP must balance between nature/severity of
  toxicity and response/potential for clinical benefit to continued treatment, with the caveat
  that treatment cannot be restarted while remaining on high-dose steroids
- Biologics (TNF $\alpha$  antagonists) are highly effective in managing irAEs refractory to high-dose steroids or steroid-taper refractory
- Beware of rebound symptoms (eg, gastrointestinal-related, rash), with thoughtful consideration for length of steroid taper
- Importance of a multidisciplinary team approach to irAEs
  - Organ-specific specialists are key members of the team

61

# Thank you!

# **Questions and Answers**

